

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Exact enumeration approach to fractal properties of the percolation backbone and $1/\sigma$ expansion

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 L475 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/13/007) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 06:28

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Exact enumeration approach to fractal properties of the percolation backbone and $1/\sigma$ expansion

Daniel C Hong and H Eugene Stanley

Center for Polymer Studies[†] and Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Received 20 June 1983

Abstract. An exact enumeration approach is developed for the backbone fractal of the incipient infinite cluster at the percolation threshold. We use this approach to calculate exactly the first low-density expansion of $L_{BB}(p)$ for arbitrary system dimensionality d, where $L_{BB}(p)$ is the mean number of backbone bonds and p is the bond occupation probability. Standard series extrapolation methods provide estimates of the fractal dimension of the backbone for all d; these disagree with the Sierpinski gasket model of the backbone. We also calculate the first low-density expansions of $L_{\min}(p)$ and $L_{red}(p)$ which are, respectively, the mean number of bonds in the minimum path between i and j and the mean number of singly connected ('red') bonds.

How can one describe the flow of fluid in random porous media? This important question has long eluded explanation. Recently, considerable attention has been focused on the utility of fractals as models of random media. In particular, the topology of the network that exists just at the onset of fluid flow has been modelled by percolation theory. Bonds are considered intact if fluid can flow through them. When the fraction of bonds is small, the system consists of many small finite clusters. However, as the bond fraction approaches a critical value p_c the clusters grow large and ramified until at p_c fluid can flow. If we consider the network of intact bonds right at p_c , there will be a subset of bonds that carry fluid ('backbone' bonds) and a remainder that does not ('dangling ends'). The structure of the backbone remains an important open question. Two models of the backbone have been discussed in the literature. In one (Gefen et al 1981), the backbone is replaced by a d-dimensional Sierpinski gasket. In the other (Stanley 1977, Coniglio 1981, 1982, Pike and Stanley 1981, Stanley and Coniglio 1983), the backbone is considered to consist of an alternating sequence of singly connected ('red') bonds and multiply connected ('blue') bonds; these are shown in colour as figure 5 of Hamann (1983).

The advantage of the Sierpinski gasket model of the backbone is that one can calculate exactly its fractal dimension, $D_{\rm B} = \ln(d+1)/\ln 2$. Hence it is important to obtain estimates of $D_{\rm B}$ for the actual backbone of percolation clusters. Thus far, the only efforts have been Monte Carlo simulations in d = 2, 3 for the backbone order parameter exponent $\beta_{\rm B}$; however, the order parameter is extremely difficult to calculate by Monte Carlo methods (Kirkpatrick 1978, Li and Streider 1982). Also, a limited attempt has been made to estimate the field-like scaling power y_h by large-cell

⁺ Supported in part by grants from NSF, ARO and ONR.

position-space renormalisation group; however, this work was limited to d = 2. The Sierpinski gasket model gives reasonable quantitative values for d = 2, 3 but not for higher d. For this and other reasons, it is highly desirable to have calculations of d_f for the backbone for general d, in order that one can better assess the relative merits of various models of backbone topology. To this end, here we present an exact enumeration approach for the backbone fractal, and calculate the first ten terms in the low-density expansions for arbitrary d. We shall see that these ten terms behave sufficiently smoothly with increasing order that extrapolations to obtain the asymptotic behaviour can be made (table 1).

Table 1. Critical exponents characterising the backbone of the incipient infinite cluster in percolation. The basic quantities calculated are ζ_B and ζ_{min} , since $\zeta_{red} = 1$ for all d (Coniglio 1982). In order to obtain the exponent for the derived quantities $L_{BB}(p)$ and $L_{\min}(p)$, we need γ_p , which is also tabulated. Finally, to obtain the backbone fractal dimension, $D_{\rm B}$, we need ν .

	γ_p	ν	ζв	Gmin	$D_{\rm B} = \frac{\rho_{\rm B}}{\nu}$	$D_{\rm B} = \frac{\ln(d+1)}{\ln 2}$
<i>d</i> = 2	<u>43(a)</u> 18	<u>4(a)</u> 3	$2.40 \pm 0.05^{(j)}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.38 \pm 0.1^{(j)} \\ 1.49 \pm 0.02^{(i)} \end{array}$	$1.80 \pm 0.04^{(j)}$	1.585 ^(f)
d = 3	$1.73 \pm 0.03^{(b)}$ $1.66 \pm 0.07^{(c)}$ $1.66^{(d)}$	$0.88 \pm 0.02^{(b)}$	$1.61 \pm 0.07^{(j)}$	$1.18 \pm 0.07^{(j)}$	$1.83 \pm 0.08^{(j)}$	2.000 ^(f)
<i>d</i> = 4	$1.48 \pm 0.08^{(e)} \\ 1.40^{(d)} \\ 1.41 \pm 0.25^{(c)}$	0.7 ^(h)	$1.32^{+0.10}_{-0.15}$	$1.03 \pm 0.08^{(j)}$	$1.89^{+0.14}_{-0.21}$	2.322 ^(f)
<i>d</i> = 5	$1.16^{(d)}$ 1.18 ± 0.07 1.25 ± 0.15	0.6 ^(h)	1.16 • 0.1 ^(j)	$1.02 \pm 0.1^{(j)}$	$1.93 \pm 0.16^{(j)}$	2.585 ^(f)
$d = 6 - \varepsilon$	$1+\frac{1}{7}\varepsilon+0.046\varepsilon^2$	$0.5 + \frac{5}{84}\varepsilon$	$\frac{1+\frac{1}{7}\varepsilon^{(g)}}{+0.025\varepsilon^2}$	-	$2 + 0.0476 \varepsilon$ + 0.0186 ε^{2}	
<i>d</i> = 6	1.00 ^(a)	0.500 ^(a)	$1.02 \pm 0.02^{(j)}$	$1.02 \pm 0.02^{(j)}$	$2.04 \pm 0.04^{(j)}$	2.807 ^(f)
 ^(a) Exact. ^(b) Gaunt and Sykes (1983). ^(c) Gaunt <i>et al</i> (1976). ^(d) Fisch and Harris (1978). ^(e) Gaunt <i>et al</i> (1976). 			 ^(t) Gefen <i>et al</i> (1981). ^(g) Harris and Lubensky (1983). ^(h) Stauffer (1979). ⁽ⁱ⁾ Pike and Stanley (1981). ^(j) This work 			

Gaunt et al (1976).

This work.

Since the 'thermal' scaling power $y_T = 1/\nu$ is the same for the backbone as for the full cluster (Shlifer et al 1979), it is sufficient to calculate only one exponent in addition to ν . Since low-density expansions are generally more accurate than high-density expansions for the same effort, we focus our attention on the exponent ζ_B rather than on $\beta_{\rm B}$.

In order to define clearly our approach, it is useful to review how one may calculate the exponent γ for the full cluster (Essam 1971, Dunn *et al* 1975). The pair connectedness P_{ij} is defined to be the probability that sites i and j are connected,

$$P_{ij} = [\nu_{ij}]_{\text{config}},\tag{1a}$$

where $\nu_{ij} = 1$ if sites *i* and *j* are connected (belong to the same cluster) and $\nu_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The square brackets denote a configurational average over all 2^N configurations of an *N*-bond system. For example, for d = 1, $P_{ij} = p^{|i-j|}$. The mean cluster size or 'susceptibility' is given by the fluctuation relation

$$S(p) = \chi_p(p) = \sum_{ij} P_{ij} \sim \varepsilon^{-\gamma}, \qquad (1b)$$

where $\varepsilon = (p_c - p)/p_c \rightarrow 0^+$ and γ is the mean-size critical exponent. For d = 1, the known result (Reynolds *et al* 1977) $S(p) = (1+p)/(1-p) \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$ follows immediately from (1b).

These ideas can be extended to other quantities (Fisch and Harris 1978, Coniglio 1982). Thus for the backbone we may define

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{ij} = [\boldsymbol{b}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\nu}_{ij}] \tag{2a}$$

where b_{ij} is the number of backbone bonds connecting sites *i* and *j*. For d = 1, $B_{ij} = |i-j|p^{|i-j|}$. In analogy with equation (1*b*), we may define the 'backbone susceptibility'

$$\chi_{\rm BB}(p) = \sum_{ij} B_{ij}.$$
 (2b)

For d = 1, we have $\chi_{BB}(p) = 2p/(1-p)^2$.

Below p_c , the mean number of backbone bonds L_{BB} connecting two sites *i* and *j* that are separated a distance of the order of the correlation length is (Coniglio 1982)

$$L_{\rm BB}(p) = \sum_{ij} B_{ij} / \sum_{ij} P_{ij} \sim e^{-\zeta_{\rm B}}, \qquad (3)$$

which defines the critical exponent ζ_B for the backbone.

Using the cumulant method, we have developed a low-density expansion for $\chi_{BB}(p)$ in the form

$$\chi_{\rm BB}(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(d) p^n, \tag{4}$$

and have evaluated the coefficients $B_n(d)$ in closed form for all d for $1 \le n \le 10$. To this end, we have generalised the inclusion-exclusion principle for the pair connectedness function to the backbone. The generalisation arises from the fact that the number of backbone bonds between sites i and j, like the pair connectedness P_{ij} , is independent of any 'dangling ends'. Therefore we only need self-avoiding walks (SAWS) and loop diagrams that are constructed by the union of SAWS between i and j. Thus for any graph G the average B_{ij} is given by

$$B_{ij} = \sum_{g} C(g) E(g) p^{b(g)}, \qquad (5a)$$

where the summation runs over all subgraphs of the graph G, E(g) is the embedding constant, b(g) is the number of bonds of g, and the cumulant C(g) satisfies the recursive relation (Essam 1971, Fisch and Harris 1978)

$$C(g) = V(g) - \sum_{g'} C(g').$$
 (5b)

Here V(g) is the value of g, while g' are the subgraphs of g.

For example, consider the simple four-bond graph $G(\Box)$, where the crosses denote sites *i* and *j*. Applying (5), we find

$$B_{ij} = C\left(\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \end{bmatrix}\right) p^{4} + 2C\left(\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \end{bmatrix}\right) p^{2}$$
$$= \left[V\left(\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \end{bmatrix}\right) - 2V\left(\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \end{bmatrix}\right)\right] p^{4} + 2V\left(\begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \end{bmatrix}\right) p^{2}$$
$$= [4 - 2 \times 2] p^{4} + [2 \times 2] p^{2}. \tag{6a}$$

Had we assigned the value V(g) = 1 for each graph, then we would have recovered the usual inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$P_{ij} = [1 - 2 \times 1]p^4 + [2 \times 1]p^2 = -p^4 + 2p^2.$$
(6b)

For the infinite lattice, with two fixed sites i and j, there are of course an *infinite* number of sAws joining i and j (unless the lattice has directed bonds). Therefore we cannot perform an exact calculation, but instead must perform an expansion in increasing powers of p, by including in the calculation graphs with increasing numbers of bonds and multiplying the contribution of each graph by the appropriate lattice embedding constant (Fisch 1977). Thus the initial terms in this expansion are

$$\chi_{BB}(p) = C_{BB}(\times - \times) [2d]p + C_{BB}(\times - \cdot - \times) [(2d)(2d-1)]p^{2} + C_{BB}(\times - \cdot - \cdot - \times) [(2d)(2d-1)^{2}]p^{3} + C_{BB}(\times - \cdot - \cdot - \cdot - \times) [2d(2d-1)^{3} - 4d(d-1)]p^{4} + \left[4C_{BB} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot - \times \\ \times - \cdot \end{pmatrix} + 8C_{BB} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot - \cdot \\ \times - \times \end{pmatrix} \right] d(d-1)p^{4}.$$
(7a)

Here the backbone cumulant $C_{BB}(g)$ for a diagram g is given by

$$C_{\rm BB}(g) = V(g) - \sum_{g' \in g} C_{\rm BB}(g'). \tag{7b}$$

The expansion (7) increases rapidly in complexity, but the requisite diagrams and lattice embedding constants are known through order p^{10} (Baker *et al* 1967, Fisch 1977). Therefore it is necessary only to apply the recursive relation (6) to each graph g and then to calculate the number of backbone bonds in each subgraph g'. The general-d expressions in the form of (7) are given in table 2.

We find that the coefficients $B_n(d)$ in the series for the backbone $\chi_{BB}(p)$ are of the same form as the coefficients in the series for mean size S(p),

$$B_{n}(d) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{n,n-k+1}\binom{d}{k}.$$
(8)

The (*d*-independent) coefficients $W_{n,n-k+1}$ are given in table 2(a) up to n = 10. We find for the first two coefficients the simple results

$$W_{n1} = n2^n n! \tag{9a}$$

$$W_{n2} = 2n(n-1)^2 2^{n-2}(n-1)!$$
(9b)

$$W_{n3} = \frac{1}{3}n2^{n-4}(n-2)!(6n^4 - 40n^3 + 96n^2 - 128n + 126) + 24(n-3)2^{n-4}(n-2).$$
(9c)

sion (4) ucts the aced by he same	10	912 000		912 000
the expan ne constri c) is repla ients are th		37 158		37 158
d), which in turn are the coefficients in the analogous coefficients from which on the the same as $(9a)$ and $(9b)$, while $(9, R_n(d) \text{ of } (11b)$. Again, the leading coeffic $R_n(d)$	6	1 672 151 040 150 493 593 600	1 672 151 040	1 672 151 040 150 493 593 600
	œ	82 575 360 82 575 360 5 945 425 920 245 114 634 240	82 575 360 5 945 425 920	8 257 360 594 525 920 244 681 113 600
Expression (8) for $B_n(b_n(b_n))$ and (c) . (b) 1 (b), and (c) . (b) 1 leading coefficients a nalogues appearing in 28n + 126).	2	4 515 840 252 887 040 8 227 215 360 204 343 050 240	4 515 840 252 887 040 8 211 732 480	4 515 840 252 887 040 8 203 991 040 202 916 044 800
efficients $W_{n,n-k+1}$ appearing in the e cients W_{nm} are given in equations (9a cients W_{nm} are given in equations (10b). The 1 in the minimum path of (10b). The 1 126)+8 × 2 ⁿ⁻⁴ (n-3)(n-2)! (c) Thear 1 ^{2ⁿ⁻⁴} (n-2)! × (6n ⁴ -40n ³ +96n ² -12)	ę	276 480 276 480 11 612 160 288 368 640 5 546 880 000 91 577 917 440	276 480 11 612 160 287 447 040 5 502 274 560	276 480 276 480 11 612 160 286 986 240 5 480 524 800 89 773 286 400
	5	19 200 576 000 10 440 960 149 160 960 1 855 644 480 21 096 368 000	19 200 576 000 10 379 520 146 956 800 1 809 174 720	19 200 576 000 10 348 800 145 889 280 1 787 313 600 20 037 046 400
ies. (a) The coeffic the leading coeffic mber of bonds i $+96n^2 - 128n +$ ced by $W_{n^3} = 3^-$	4	1536 30720 381312 3810048 33713664 276767616	1 536 30 720 376 704 3 694 848 3 2 024 256 258 819 648	1 536 30 720 374 470 3 639 552 31 241 472 250 681 536 1 902 043 520
pw-density selection the mean number $(9c)$ is replaced by $(9c)$	3	144 1728 13296 84672 84672 848496 2638608 13716048 68358432	144 1728 12912 78816 444 648 2 303 880 11 790 120	144 1728 12720 76032 424200 2154048 10948536 51796080
General-d Ic ickbone susc is $M_n(d)$ of 1 $n 2^{n-4}(n-2)$ d (9b), while	2	16 96 384 1 352 4 272 12 992 37 040 104 016 274 504	16 96 352 352 3508 3508 10748 10748 27052 79076	16 16 36 336 1160 3144 9716 22592 68436 143080
t the bar f the bar f $\frac{1}{n^3} = 3^{-1}$ (9a) an	-) 6 4 4 2 8 6 6 4 2 2 110 20 20 20) 8 6 6 4 2 10 8 6 6 1 2 11 2 11 2 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1) 8 6 4 4 2 2 8 1 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0
8 6 9 1		91064596800	9-06400680	109876543216

Letter to the Editor

L479

In order to form the function $L_{BB}(p)$ of (3), we must also obtain general-*d* expressions for the mean-size function S(p) for bond percolation with *site* counting (as opposed to *bond* counting, as in the conventional series expansions). Fortunately, Fisch and Harris (1978) tabulate the needed results.

The resulting series were analysed for d = 2-6 by the usual extrapolation procedures. We found that Padé approximants provided the most consistent estimates of the backbone exponent ζ_B defined in (3), and the results are shown in table 1. Table 1 also shows $D_B = \zeta_B/\nu$, the fractal dimension of the backbone. For comparison, we include the prediction $D_B = \ln(d+1)/\ln 2$ of the Sierpinski gasket model. We see that the discrepancy is most serious for large d.

The same procedure developed above for the number of backbone bonds B_{ij} can be readily extended to the *minimum* number of bonds M_{ij} (Middlemiss *et al* 1980, Pike and Stanley, 1981), where $M_{ij} = [m_{ij}\nu_{ij}]$ in analogy to (2*a*). The general-*d* results are given in table 2(*b*) while table 1 also gives the results of extrapolation procedures for the exponent ζ_{min} defined through

$$L_{\min}(p) = \sum_{j} M_{ij} / \sum P_{ij} = \chi_{\min}(p) / \chi_{p}(p) \sim e^{-\zeta_{\min}}, \qquad (10a)$$

where

$$\chi_{\min}(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(d) p^n.$$
(10b)

Similarly we can define

$$L_{\rm red}(p) = \sum_{i} R_{ij} / \sum P_{ij} = \chi_{\rm red}(p) / \chi_p(p) \sim e^{-\zeta_{\rm red}}, \qquad (11a)$$

$$\chi_{\rm red}(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(d) p^n, \qquad (11b)$$

where $R_{ij} = [r_{ij}\nu_{ij}]$ is the number of singly connected ('red') bonds or links between sites *i* and *j*. Pike and Stanley (1981) found that $L_{red}(p)$ diverges at p_c , contrary to some intuitive expectations, and Coniglio (1981, 1982) proved rigorously that $\zeta_{red} = 1$ for all spatial dimensionalities *d*. The proof was based on the 'Lemma' that $L_{red}(p) = p (d/dp) \log S(p)$. We find that Coniglio's lemma holds 'term-by-term' in the sense that for each graph in the low-density series expansion,

$$L_{ij} = p(d/dp) \log P_{ij}.$$
(12)

In table 2, we tabulate the general-d results for the function $\Sigma_i R_{ij}$.

Although less reliable than direct analysis, an approximation to the functions $\chi_{BB}(p)$, $\chi_{min}(p)$ and $\chi_{red}(p)$ may be obtained by deriving expansions in the variable $1/\sigma$, where $\sigma = 2d - 1$. Following the procedure used for $\chi_p(p)$ (Gaunt and Ruskin 1978), we find to $O(1/\sigma^2)$,

$$\chi_{\rm BB} = \chi_{\rm BB}^{\rm CT} + (-5x^2/(1-x)^3 + x/(1-x)^2 - 18x/(1-x) + 7x + x^2)\sigma^{-2} + \dots, \qquad (13a)$$

$$\chi_{\min} = \chi_{\min}^{CT} + (-5x^2/(1-x)^3 - 3x/(1-x)^2 - 6/(1-x) - x - 3x^2)\sigma^{-2} + \dots,$$
(13b)

$$\chi_{\rm red} = \chi_{\rm red}^{\rm CT} + \left[-5x^2/(1-x)^3 - 5x/(1-x)^2 - 5x - 5x^2\right]\sigma^{-3} + \dots, \qquad (13c)$$

where $x = \sigma p$. Following the procedure used in deriving

$$\chi_{p}^{CT}(p) = 1 + (\sigma^{-1} + 1) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (\sigma p)^{r} = (1+p)/(1-\sigma p), \qquad (14a)$$

we obtain

$$\chi_{\rm BB}^{\rm CT} = \chi_{\rm min}^{\rm CT} = \chi_{\rm red}^{\rm CT} = (1 + \sigma^{-1}) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r(\sigma p)^r = (\sigma + 1)p/(1 - \sigma p)^2.$$
(14b)

In summary, then, we have calculated the first low-density expansions for three quantities characterising the backbone fractal of the incipient infinite cluster at the percolation threshold. These are L_{BB} , L_{min} and L_{red} which, respectively, are the mean number of backbone bonds, the mean length of minimum path, and the mean number of 'red' bonds between sites *i* and *j* separated by a correlation length. Extrapolations for the corresponding critical exponents, ζ_{BB} and ζ_{min} , are presented in table 1; ζ_R is known to diverge as $1/\varepsilon$ for all *d* (Coniglio 1982).

After this work was completed, we received two interesting preprints (Harris 1983, Harris and Lubensky 1983) which apply field-theoretic methods to calculate *one* of these quantities, L_{BB} , as a series in (6-d); for d > 3, their results are not inconsistent with ours based on series expansions.

We wish to thank S Redner and especially A Coniglio, as well as A B Harris for providing us with a copy of Fisch (1977).

References

Baker G A, Gilbert H E, Eve J and Rushbrooke G S 1967 BNL 50053(T-460) (Brookhaven National Laboratory)

Coniglio A 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 250

— 1982 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 15 3829

Dunn A G, Essam J W and Ritchie D S 1975 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 8 4219

Essam J W 1971 J. Math. Phys. 12 874

Fisch R 1977 PhD thesis University of Pennsylvania

Fisch R and Harris A B 1978 Phys. Rev. B 18 416

Gaunt D S and Ruskin H 1978 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 11 1369

Gaunt D S and Sykes M F 1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 783

Gaunt D S, Sykes M F and Ruskin H 1976, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 9 1899

Gefen Y, Aharony A, Mandelbrot B B and Kirkpatrick S 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 1771

Hamann D R 1983 Phys. Today 36 [5] 25

Harris A B 1983 Preprint

Harris A B and Lubensky T C 1983 Preprint

Kirkpatrick S 1978 AIP conference 40 99

Li P S and Streider W 1982 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15 L1235

Middlemiss K M, Whittington S G and Gaunt D S 1980 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 1835

Pike R and Stanley H E 1981 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14 L169

Reynolds P J, Stanley H E and Klein W 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 11 L203

Shlifer G, Klein W, Reynolds P J and Stanley H E 1979 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 12 L169

Stanley H E 1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 L211

Stanley H E and Coniglio A 1983 in Percolation Structures and Processes ed G Deutscher, R Zallen and J Adler (Bristol: Adam Hilger) p 101

Stanley H E, Redner S and Yang Z R 1982 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 15 L569

Stauffer D 1979 Phys. Rep. 54 1